

- Dreyfus, H. L. (1992). *What Computers Still Can't Do: A Critique of Artificial Reason*. Cambridge, MA, MIT Press.
- Eckert, C. and Stacey, M. (2000). Sources of inspiration: a language of design. *Design Studies* 21(5): 523–538.
- Fraser, I. and Henmi, R. (1994). *Envisioning Architecture: An Analysis of Drawing*. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Goldschmidt, G. (1991). The dialectics of sketching. *Creativity Research Journal* 4(2): 123–143.
- Groak, S. (1992). *The Idea of Building: Thought and Action in the Design and Production of Buildings*. London, E. & F. N. Spon.
- Lawson, B. R. (1994). *Design in Mind*. Oxford, Architectural Press.
- Lawson, B. R. (2004). *What Designers Know*. Oxford, Architectural Press.
- Lindsey, B. (2001). *Digital Gehry: Material Resistance/Digital Construction*. Basel, Birkhauser.
- Maher, M. L. and Poon, J. (1996). Modelling design exploration as co-evolution. *Microcomputers in Civil Engineering* 11(3): 195–210.
- Medway, P. and Andrews, R. (1992). Building with words: discourse in an architects' office. *Carleton Papers in Applied Language Studies* 9: 1–32.
- Murphy, R. (1990). *Carlo Scarpa and the Castelvechio*. Oxford, Architectural Press.
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. London, Temple Smith.
- Schön, D. A. (1984). Problems, frames and perspectives on designing. *Design Studies* 5(3): 132–136.
- Schön, D. A. and Wiggins, G. (1992). Kinds of seeing and their function in designing. *Design Studies* 13(2): 135–156.
- Suwa, M. and Twersky, B. (1997). What do architects and students perceive in their design sketches? A protocol analysis. *Design Studies* 18: 385–403.

# 16

## Towards a model of designing

---

The kinds of knowledge that may enter into a design solution are practically limitless

Goel and Pirolli, *The Structure of Design Problem Spaces*

You think philosophy is difficult enough, but I tell you it is nothing to the difficulty of being a good architect.

Wittgenstein, *Conversation with M.O'C Drury 1930*

This book has relied upon a great deal of research to develop its arguments. Some of the data behind those arguments are the author's but much were collected by others. A brief look back through the book will show that a tremendously wide range of research methodology has been used in design research. It is possible to classify all these approaches.

### Ways of investigating design

When the first edition of this book was written in 1980 there was relatively little empirical research into the design process. Most of what had by then been written about designing was based not on gathered evidence but on introspection. A number of designers had simply sat down and reflected on their own practice and what they thought must be happening. Thus many early writers described not a design process they had observed, but one they believed logically must take place. Perhaps some, whose work was then known as 'design methods', even described a process they thought ought to happen. Examples of this sort of work are found in Chapter 3 and would include attempted definitions of design